Total Synthesis of the Spiroketal Macrolide (+) Milbemycin α_1

Steven V. Ley,*,a Andrew Madinb, Nathaniel J.T. Moncka
a) University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EW, UK.
b) Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine, South Kensington, London SW7 2AY, UK.

Abstract: The total synthesis of the antiparasitic spiroketal macrolide (+) milbemycin α_1 is reported, following Julia sulfone anion coupling of the sulfone 3 with a northern hemisphere aldehyde 2 and subsequent functional group elaboration.

Owing to their biological activity and structural novelty the milbemycins and avermectins have become popular target molecules for organic synthesis. 1,2 Over the years since their discovery we have delineated a versatile route to these compounds 3 which culminated in the total syntheses of milbemycin β_1^4 and avermectin B_{1a} . Here we report a further application of these methods to the preparation of milbemycin $\alpha_1^{6,2f}$ another member of this important series of compounds.

Our previous studies in the area makes available suitable coupling components for this synthesis such as the "northern hemisphere" aldehyde 2^4 and the allylic sulfone 3.5 The sulfone 3 requires no further protection but can be coupled via its trianion using three equivalents of t-butyllithium at -78°C followed by reaction with 2 to give the adduct 4 in 63% yield. Usual Julia reduction of 4 with sodium amalgam gave the 40 treatment with tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in THF to give 40 in excellent overall yield. The primary hydroxyl group in 40 was readily oxidised to the aldehyde 40 in 41 in 42 yield using oxally chloride activated dimethylsulphoxide. While this aldehyde could be isolated it was unstable over time and we found it easier to execute the next steps of the synthesis as rapidly as possible. Oxidation of 42 with sodium chlorite under the Pinnick conditions of 43 proceeded satisfactorily to give an intermediate acid as in our previous syntheses which, after removal of the benzoyl groups with sodium methoxide in methanol and Yamaguchi macrolactonisation

with 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride¹¹ and 4-pyrrolidino-pyridine gave 8 in 33% overall yield for the three steps (Scheme 1).

Reagents and conditions: (i) ^tBuLi, THF, -78°C, HMPA, 10 min.; **2**, 1h, (63%); (ii) Na/Hg, Na₂HPO₄, THF/MeOH, -40°C, 45 min., (28%); (iii) BzCl, py/CH ₂Cl₂, DMAP, 0°C to RT (95%); (iv) TBAF, THF, 0°C to RT (88%); (v) DMSO, (COCI) ₂, CH₂Cl₂, -78°C; Et₃N, -78°C to RT (95%); (vi) NaClO ₂, Bu^tOH/H₂O, Me₂C=CHMe, KH₂PO₄, RT, 1h; (vii) NaOMe, MeOH; (viii) 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride, 4-pyrrolidino-pyridine, Et₃N,CH₂Cl₂, Δ (33% from **7**)

The final stages of the synthesis used a similar approach to that shown to be successful during our avermectin B_{1a} synthesis. Hence oxidation of the hydroxyl function at C-5 with stoichiometric TPAP¹² at room temperature gave the ketone which was selenated at C-4 via the corresponding silyl enol ether using phenylselenenyl chloride to produce the selenides 9 and 10 in good yield and in a 1:1 ratio. These were not separated at this stage but were treated with HF/pyridine to remove the trimethylsilyl group from the C-7 tertiary hydroxyl group to give 11 and 12. The α -selenide 11 was then converted to the natural product by oxidation with 2(phenylsulphonyl)-3-(p-nitrophenyl)oxaziridine to an intermediate selenoxide, subsequent synclimination and finally reduction of the resulting enone with NaBH₄/CeCl₃. This reaction gave the natural product 1 in 49% together with some (29%) of the exomethylene isomer 13 which was separated by chromatography. The synthetic sample of 1 was identical to an authentic sample of milbemycin α_1 kindly supplied by the Sankyo company.

Scheme 2

Reagents and conditions: (i) TPAP, 4Å molecular sieves, CH_2Cl_2 , RT (79%); (ii) ZnCl $_2$, 30 min.; TMSOTf, Et_9N , CH_2Cl_2 , 0° C, 6h (85%); (iii) PhSeCl, CH_2Cl_2 , -78° C, 2h (9: 10, 1:1; 86%); (iv) HF, py, CH_3CN , RT, 60h (51%); (v) 2-(Phenyl sulphonyl)-3-(p-nitrophenyl)oxaziridine, CDCl $_3$, RT, 2h; NaBH $_4$, $CeCl_3$, MeOH, 0° C, 20 min. (49%, 1; 29%, 13)

In summary we have shown that a common synthetic strategy developed by our group may be used to synthesize milbernycin α_1 , in an analogous fashion to our earlier milbernycin and avermectin syntheses.

Acknowledgements

We thank the SERC, Pfizer Central Research and Rhône-Poulenc Rorer (to NJTM) for financial support. We also acknowledge the British Petroleum endowment at Cambridge (to SVL).

References and footnotes

1) For a recent review on this area, see: (a) Davies H.G. and Green R.H.; Chem. Soc. Rev., 1991, 20, 211 and 271; (b) Crimmins M.T., Hollis Jr W.G., O'Mahony R.; "Studies in Natural Products Chemistry", Vol. 1, Stereoselective Synthesis Part A, p 435; ed. Atta-ur-Raman, Elsevier, NY (1988); (c) Fisher M.H. and Mrozik H.; "Macrolide Antibiotics", p 553, ed. Omura S., Academic Press, Orlando (1985).

- 2) Milbemycin β3: (a) Williams D.R., Barner B.A., Nishitani K., Philips J.G.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 4708; (b) Baker R., O'Mahony M.J., Swain C.J.; J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 1987, 1623; (c) Street S.D.A., Yeates C., Kocienski P.J., Campbell S.F.; J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm., 1985, 1386; 1388; (d) Attwood S.V., Barrett A.G.M., Carr R.A., Richardson G.; J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 479; Attwood S.V., Barrett A.G.M., Carr R.A., Richardson G., Walshe N.D.A.; J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 4840; Milbemycin E: (e) Parmee E.R., Steel P.G., Thomas E.J.; J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm., 1989, 1250; Milbemycin α1: (f) Hirama M., Noda T., Yasuda S., Ito S., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 1830; Avermectin B1a: (g) Hanessian S., Ugolini A., Dube D., Hodges P.J., Andre C., Beaulieu P.; Pure Appl. Chem., 1987, 57, 299; Avermectin B1a (aglycone): (h) White J.D., Bolton G.L.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 1626; Avermectin A1a: (i) Danishefsky S.J., Armstead D.M., Wincott F.E., Selnick H.G., Hungate R.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 2967; Avermectin B1b (22,23 dihydro-aglycone): (j) Ferezou J.P., Julia M., Liu L.W., Pancrazi A.; Synlett, 1991, 614.
- 3) Milbernycin β_I : Ley, S.V. and Armstrong A.; "Strategies and Tactics in Organic Synthesis", vol. 3, p237, ed. Lindberg T., Academic Press, NY (1991).
- 4) Avermectin B_{1a}: Ley S.V., Anthony N.J, Armstrong A., Brasca M.G., Clarke T., Culshaw D., Greck C., Grice P., Jones A.B., Lygo B., Madin A., Sheppard R.N., Slawin A.M.Z., Williams D.J.; Tetrahedron, 1989, 45, 7161.
- 5) Ley S.V., Armstrong A., Diez-Martin D., Ford M., Grice P., Knight J.G., Kolb H.C., Madin A., Marby C.A., Mukherjee S., Shaw A.N., Slawin A.M.Z., Vile S., White A.D., Williams D.J., Woods M.; J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 1991, 667.
- 6) Mishima H., Ide J., Muramatsu S., Ono M.; J. Antibiot., 1983, 36, 980.
- 7) All new compounds gave satisfactory microanalysis and/or accurate mass data.
- 8) Julia M. and Paris J.-M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1973**, *14*, 4833; For a review of sulfone-based olefination reactions, see: Kocienski P.J.; *Phosporus and Sulphur*, **1985**, **24**, 97.
- 9) Mancuso A.J. and Swern D.; Synthesis, 1981, 165.
- 10) Bal B.S., Childers W.E., Pinnick H.W.; Tetrahedron, 1981, 37, 2091; Taschner M.J., Kraus G.A.; J. Org. Chem., 1986, 45, 1175
- 11) Managa J., Hirata K., Saeki H., Katsuki T., Yamaguchi M.; Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1979, 52, 1979.
- 12) Griffith W.P., Ley S.V., White A.D.; J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm., 1987, 1625; Griffith W.P., Ley S.V.; Aldrichimica Acta, 1990, 23, 13.
- 13) Data for macrolactone 8: $\{\alpha\}_D = +157$ (c. 1.0, CHCl3) ν_{max} (film) 3460, 2925, 1701, 1450, 1378, 1270, 1222, 1179, 1056, 997 and 962 cm⁻¹ δ_H (500MHz, CDCl3, milbemycin numbering) 5.73-5.67 (2H, m, H-9, H-10), 5.48-5.42 (1H, m, H-19) 5.35-5.30 (1H, dd, J 15.0 and 11.0, H-11), 4.98-4.95 (1H, br. t, J 7.6, H-15), 4.75 (1H, s, C7-OH), 4.65-4.61 (1H, obs. d, J 14.4, 1xH-8 α), 4.57-4.54 (1H, obs. d, J 14.4, 1xH-8 α), 3.81 (1H, d, J 3.8, H-6), 3.58-3.48 (2H, m, H-5, H-17), 3.29-3.23 (1H, dq, J 9.9 and 6.3, H-25), 2.53 (1H, dd, J 10.0 and 7.5, H-2), 2.43-2.38 (1H, m, H-12), 2.26-2.16 (3H, m), 1.90-1.61 (8H, m), 1.55-1.46 (7H, m inc. C14-Me at 1.51), 1.41-1.37 (1H t, J 11.9), 1.13 (3H, d, J 6.3, Me) 1.08 (3H, d, J 6.4, Me), 0.99 (3H, d, J 6.7, Me), 0.88-0.79 (4H, m inc. Me at 0.82, d, J 6.5); m/z(E1) 530 (0.5%, [M]⁺), 512 (1.7, [M-H2O]⁺), 496 (0.6, [M-2H2O]⁺), 281 (2.2, [C14H21O5]⁺), 263 (1.6, [C15H19O4]⁺), 249 (2.7, [C16H25O2]⁺)181 (100, [C11H17O2]⁺), 153 (39.9, [C10H19O]⁺) and 129 (10.5, [C7H13O2]⁺) observed; [M]⁺ 530.3243, C31H46O7 requires M⁺ 530.3244.
- 14) Synthetic milbemycin α_1 was found to be identical to the natural product by t.l.c. (3 different solvent systems) and by H.P.L.C. Data for synthetic milbemycin α_1 1: υ_{max} (film) 3462, 2918, 2849, 1732, 1462, 1377, 1261, 1166, 1120, 1056 cm⁻¹; δ_H (500MHz, CDCl₃, milbemycin numbering) 5.80 (1H, dt, 11.3 and 2.4, H-9) 5.73 (1H, dd, 14.3 and 11.3, H-10), 5.44-5.34 (3H, m, H-3, H-11, H-19), 4.99 (1H, t, J 7.8, H-15), 4.71 (1H, dd, J 14.3 and 2.3, 1xH-8 α), 4.66 (1H, dd, J 14.3 and 2.3, 1xH-8 α), 4.29 (1H, t, J 7.2, H-5), 4.10 (1H, s. C7-OH), 3.96 (1H, d, J 6.2, H-6), 3.52 (1H, m, H-17), 3.29-3.24 (2H, m, H-2, H-25), 2.43 (1H, m, H-12), 2.32 (1H, d, J 8.2, C5-OH), 2.24-2.18 (3H, m, 1xH-13, 2xH-16), 1.99 (1H, ddd, J 12.1, 4.9 and 1.8, H-20_{eq}), 1.89-1.79 (5H, m, 1xH-13, H-18_{eq}, C4-Me at 1.87), 1.67 (1H, m), 1.55-1.47 (6H, m, inc. C14-Me at 1.53), 1.35 (1H, t, J 11.8, H-20_{ax}), 1.26 (1H, m, H-24), 1.15 (3H, d, J 6.3, C25-Me), 1.00 (3H, d, J 6.6, C12-Me), 0.87 (1H, q, J 12.0, H-18_{ax}) and 0.82 (3H, d, J 6.6, C24-Me); m/z (EI) 528 (14.8%, [M]+), 510 (0.6, [M-H₂O]+), 400 (27, [M-C₆H₈O₃]+), 278 (3, [C₁5H₁₈O₅]+), 261 (2, [C₁5H₁₇O₄]+), 249 (5, [C₁6H₂5O₂]+), 181 (91, [C₁1H₁₇O₂]+), 153 (72, [C₁0H₁₉O]+), 129 (10, [C₇H₁₃O₂]+); observed: [M]+528.3098, C₃1H₄₄O₇ requires 528.3087.